

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,347	09/19/2003	Michael D. Green	023340-0201 4647	
30542	7590 02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			PATEL, TAJASH D	
P.O. BOX 802	278			
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92138-0278		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	10/666,347	GREEN, MICHAEL D.	
,, Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tejash D. Patel	3765	
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress -
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this	e to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Notes</u> is FINAL . 2b) This application is in condition for alloward accordance with the practice under Expression 12 to 20 to	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Clair	ns			
4a) Of the a 5)	-19 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdray is/are allowed5,7-9,11,12,14,15,18 and 19 is/are is/10,13,16 and 17 is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. rejected.		
Application Papers				
10)☐ The drawin Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Examine g(s) filed on is/are: a) accept ay not request that any objection to the ont drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledge a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Copi	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority documents ified copies of the priority documents ies of the certified copies of the prior ication from the International Bureau ched detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference		4) Interview Summary		·
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ate	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on October 17, 2005. The restriction requirement made in the Office action mailed on October 18, 2005 is hereby withdrawn. Further, claims 1-18 of group I and claim 19 have been rejoined as per 37 CFR 1.142.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-12 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vadher (US 5,716,307). Vadher discloses an exercise/training, crew neck garment (10) including sleeves (14,22) and a torso portion having an adjustable waist belt (61) defining a pair of flaps being substantially secured to respective sides of the abdominal region that is inherently fastened by hook and loop material, col. 6, lines 22-27 and as shown in figure 1 Also, the garment includes a leg portion (30) that is integrally formed with the torso portion as shown in figures 1 and 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,347

Art Unit: 3765

Furthermore, a manually tension control element (12, 20) is adjustable by buckle (68) that is located in the front of the garment and extends from the upper abdominal region to a lower abdominal region thereof, col. 5, lines 1-60 and as shown in figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vadher.

With regard to claim 9, it would have been obvious to form the garment of Vadher to be sleeveless as required for a particular end application thereof.

With regard to claim 14, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the garment of Vadher can be made of any desired material that was available at the time the device was made or depending on the end use thereof.

With regard to claims 15, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that any desired item can be attached to an accessory strap (118) of the garment of Vadher as required for a particular application or end use thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,347

Art Unit: 3765

Response to Amendment

6. The response filed on October 17,2005 has been considered. In view of such, claims 1-18

of Group I have been rejoined with claim 19 of Group II. However, a newly discovered prior art

reference has prompted this office action to be made new-non Final and the arguments are moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6, 10, 13, 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax

phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

February 3, 2006

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4